

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/618,199	07/11/2003	Daniel M. Ammon JR.	0-02-133.01	4168
75	590 03/29/2004		EXAM	INER
Kevin D. McCarthy			CEGIELNIK, URSZULA M	
Roach Brown McCarthy & Grubr, P.C.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1620 Liberty Building				THER NOMBER
420 Main Street			3712	
Buffalo, NY	14202		DATE MALLED 02/20/200	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/618,199	AMMON, DANIEL M.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Urszula M Cegielnik	3712	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) <u></u> ☐	<i>,</i> —	action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.L	J. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. Is have been received in a rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachmer	nt(s)			
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice 1	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Nation of	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/618,199

Art Unit: 3712

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-18 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,593,375. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they both claim an aqueous bubble solution having water-soluble polymers and the formation of strands, drops, and sheets once a bubble produced from the aqueous bubble solution bursts.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Urszula M. Cegielnik whose telephone number is 703-306-5806. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, from 5:30AM - 2:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,199

Art Unit: 3712

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Derris H. Banks can be reached on 703-308-1745. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306 for both regular and After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Customer Service at 703-306-5648.

Urszula M. Cegielnik Assistant Examiner Art Unit 3712

DERRIS H. BANKS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700